## Some English Constructions Transformational Framework

Lecture 7

October 2, 2012

# Some things are hard with **Context-Free Grammars**

- · Assignment of structures to discontinuous constituents
  - A man wearing earings walked by
  - A man walked by wearing earings
- Agreement
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> person singular subjects get an "s" on the end of the verb (even though there may be a gap between the head of the subject and the verb)
- · Certain regularities seem to be at the word sequence level (e.g., verbs such as "call up")
- \*\* Assignment of structure to related sentences that may look different. E.g.,
  - John hit the ball The ball was hit by John
  - Who did John see John saw Mary

# Chomsky – generalized rewrite rules

Now a derivation could not be captured in a phrase structure tree - that is just 1 step in a derivation

- 1. Generate a phrase structure tree down to level of lexical categories
- 2. Insert lexical items according to lexical rules (this step yields a DEEP STRUCTURE
- 3. Perform transformations on this tree structure using rules (some obligatory, some optional)
- 4. Use morphological rules to read off the actual words

#### Why look at this?

- This DEEP STRUCTURE is generally the level that people thought one should run semantics on - all sentences with same deep structure have the same underlying meaning.
- The transformational framework is not really used in NLP - but I find it useful to explain some of the data that we see. You will see other grammatical formalisms try to "recapture" many of the things the transformational grammar explains.

## Helping Verbs in English

Helping verbs - auxiliary verbs - have, be, and the models (e.g., can, could, might, may, will)

- · John could sing.
- \*John sing could.
- John has sung.
- · John was singing.
- · John could have sung.
- · John has been singing.

- John could have sung.
- \* John have could sing.
- \*John was having sung. • \*John has could sung.
- Some Rules:

Aux -> (m) (have) (be)

S-> NP Aux VP

## Yes-No Questions

- John could sing.
- John has sung.
- John was singing.
- John could have sung.
- John had been singing.
- John could have sung.
- · Could John sing?
- · Has John sung?
- Was John singing?
- · Could John have sung?
- · Had John been singing? Could John have sung?
- \*Have John could sing?
- · \*Been John had singing?

Transformational rule:

Given a declarative sentence with helping verbs, form a Y/N Q by moving the first helping verb to the left of the subject

# Could we do it with phrase structure rule?

$$S \to \begin{cases} \left\{ M - NP - (have) \right\} - be \\ have - NP \\ be - NP \end{cases} - VP$$

#### **English Verbal Inflection**

A verbal following a modal always assumes its uninflected form

8

# English Verbal Inflection II

 The perfect helping verb <u>have</u> requires the verbal element following it to be in its past-participle form

9

## **English Verbal Inflection III**

• The progressive helping verb <u>be</u> requires the verbal element following it to be in its present-participle form

10

# English Verbal Inflection IV

- The verbal element immediately to the right of the subject is inflected for tense and, except for modals, also for number and person of the subject
  - They like music. (pres)
  - They liked music. (past)
  - We are eating.
  - We were eating.
  - We have been singing.
  - We had been singing.
  - We could be singing.
  - We can be singing.

11

# **English Verbal Inflection**

- Information about the inflection should be associated with the verb that introduces it (not with the verb it attaches to.
- · Tense marker should always be first

Aux = Tns (m) (have en) (be ing)

# Affix Hopping – put endings where they belong

$$X - \begin{Bmatrix} Tns \\ en \\ ing \end{Bmatrix} - \begin{Bmatrix} m \\ have \\ be \\ V \end{Bmatrix} - Y$$

$$1 - -2 - - - - 3 - - - 4$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 103+24(obligatory

 $\Rightarrow$  1,0,3 + 2,4(obligatory)

#### Y/N Questions with tense

- · Note: the moved constituent seems to carry the tense
  - Would he go?
  - \*Will he went?
  - Has he been working?
  - \*Have he is working?

14

# **Subject-Aux Inversion** (2<sup>nd</sup> Preliminary Version)

NP - Tns 
$$\begin{cases} m \\ have \\ be \end{cases}$$
 -  $X$   
 $1----3$   
 $\Rightarrow 2+1,0,3(optional)$ 

# What happens when no modal/have/be?

 Fred past arrive at the party → past Fred arrive at the party

#### Do Support:

An occurrence of Tns that has not been able to undergo affix hopping must have do inserted to the left of it (obligatory)

Do+past Fred arrive at the party > Did Fred arrive at the party.

#### OK - That wasn't too hard...

- · All of the above things can be understood with a transformational analysis - but it is reasonable to write context-free rules that capture what we see.
- · Other kinds of constructions make that a bit more difficult... wh-questions and relative clauses. Let's take a look...

# **Wh-Questions**

Wh-Questions are introduced with some wh-word

What could Mary be singing?

What did you find?

Who has eaten the cake?

What did you find the dog on?

Whose dog was the man bitten by?

#### Wh-Questions - Observations

1. Many show the same type of inverted word order of subject helping verb as we saw with y/n questions

What could Mary be

Could Mary be singing

singing?

something?

What did you find?

Did you find something?

\*What you find?

Has someone eaten the cake?

Who found a dog?

Whose dog was the man

Who has eaten the cake?

Was the man bitten by the dog?

bitten by? \*Whose dog the man was

bitten by?

#### Wh-Questions

2. The questioned constituent, even though it appears at the beginning of a question, is actually "understood" as fulfilling some function within the sentence

What could Mary be

Mary could be singing a

singing?

song. I found a dog.

What did you find? Who has eaten the cake?

Mary has eaten the cake.

What did you find the dog

I found the dog on the

on?

pillow.

Whose dog was the man

The man was bitten by

bitten by?

John's dog.

#### The Transformational Story

- The sentence started out as a regular question and then:
  - 1. Subject-aux inversion was applied to turn it into a y/n
  - 2. One of the NP's was moved up to the front of the sentence

# **Subject-Aux Inversion**

Q-NP-Tns 
$$\begin{cases} m \\ have \\ be \end{cases}$$
 -  $X$   

$$1--2---3----4$$
  

$$\Rightarrow 1,3+2,0,4(optional)$$

#### **Question Movement**

$$Q - X - [det y]_{wh - NP} - Z$$

 $\Rightarrow$  1+3, 2, 0, 4 (obligatory)

#### **Relative Clauses**

Clauses that further specify an NP – usually introduced by a relative pronoun - who, whom, which, that

- The police recovered the car that Fred stole.
- The hat John was wearing made Sheila laugh.
- The man who took the money ran away.
- The safe that the man took the money from was broken.

#### **Relative Clauses**

Let's look at the clauses themselves...

- The police recovered the car that Fred stole.
  - \*Fred stole
  - Fred stole the car
- The hat John was wearing made Sheila laugh.
  - \*John was wearing
  - John was wearing the hat
- The man who took the money ran away.
  - \* took the money
  - The man took the money
- The safe that the man took the money from was broken.

25

- \*The man took the money from
- The man took the money from the safe

Transformational Story

- Deep structure has the whole sentence there (modifying the NP) – relative clause formation has us delete it (and perhaps add the relative pronoun).
- Non-transformational story when you hit a relative pronoun – you expect to parse a sentence with a hole in it – that hole needs to be filled with the NP that is being modified by the relative clause...